



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,860	07/24/2001	Kanji Nakamura	9659/OL377US0	4215

7590

05/01/2003

Darby & Darby P.C.  
P.O. Box 5257  
New York, NY 10150-5257

EXAMINER

STEADMAN, DAVID J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1652

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/911,860

Applicant(s)

NAKAMURA ET AL.

Examiner

David J. Steadman

Art Unit

1652

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 1652

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Application Status***

- [1]** Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.
- [2]** It is noted that claim 10 appears to depend from claim 9 and has been grouped accordingly. It is suggested that applicant clarify the meaning of the claim.

***Election/Restrictions***

- [3]** Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I.** Claim(s) 1-4, drawn to a nucleic acid and a labeled probe, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - II.** Claim(s) 5-8, drawn to methods of detecting chlorinated ethylene-decomposing bacteria, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
  - III.** Claim(s) 9-20, drawn to methods of decomposing at least one of chlorinated ethylene and chlorinated ethane, classified in class 435, subclass 166.
- [4]** For each of inventions I-III above, restriction to one of the following is also required under 35 USC 121. Therefore, election is required of one of inventions I-III and one of inventions a)-o).
- |                        |                         |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>a)</b> SEQ ID NO:1. | <b>i)</b> SEQ ID NO:9.  |
| <b>b)</b> SEQ ID NO:2. | <b>j)</b> SEQ ID NO:10. |
| <b>c)</b> SEQ ID NO:3. | <b>k)</b> SEQ ID NO:11. |
| <b>d)</b> SEQ ID NO:4. | <b>l)</b> SEQ ID NO:12. |
| <b>e)</b> SEQ ID NO:5. | <b>m)</b> SEQ ID NO:13. |
| <b>f)</b> SEQ ID NO:6. | <b>n)</b> SEQ ID NO:14. |
| <b>g)</b> SEQ ID NO:7. | <b>o)</b> SEQ ID NO:15. |
| <b>h)</b> SEQ ID NO:8. |                         |
- [5]** The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Art Unit: 1652

**[6]** The nucleic acids of Groups a)-o) are structurally distinct encoding structurally distinct peptides capable of eliciting different antibodies and no single nucleic acid of Groups a)-o) would render any of the others obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

**[7]** The nucleic acid of Group I and the methods of Groups II or III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case methods of decomposing chlorinated ethylene are known in the art (see for example Maymo-Gatell et al. *Science* 276:1568-1571) and the nucleic acid of Group I can be used for processes other than the methods of Groups II and III such as for expression of peptides.

**[8]** The methods of Groups II and III are independent as they comprise different steps and yield different results.

**[9]** MPEP § 803 sets forth two criteria for restricting between patentably distinct inventions – 1) the inventions must be independent or distinct and 2) there must be a serious burden on the examiner. MPEP § 803 states, “For purposes of the initial requirement, a serious burden on the examiner may be *prima facie* shown if the examiner shows by appropriate explanation either separate classification, separate status in the art, or a different field of search as defined in MPEP § 808.02”. Because the inventions of Groups a)-o) and I-III are distinct for the reasons given above, have separate classification, and each of the inventions requires a separate patent and non-patent literature and sequence search, restriction for examination purposes is proper.

**[10]** Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

**[11]** Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

Art Unit: 1652

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Steadman, whose telephone number is (703) 308-3934. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The FAX number for this Group is (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Art Unit receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

David J. Steadman, Ph.D.  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 1652

5/1/03

